



STATE OF WASHINGTON

7E7 PROJECT COORDINATION OFFICE

906 - Columbia Street SW, 5th Floor • PO Box 48300 • Olympia, Washington 98504 • (360) 725-4050

July 2, 2004

Jason Mercier, Budget Analyst
Evergreen Freedom Foundation
PO Box 552
Olympia, WA 98507

Re: May 13, 2004 Public Records Request - Response

Dear Mr. Mercier:

This letter is the response to your May 13, 2004 public records request to the 7E7 Project Coordination Office (7E7 PCO) under RCW 42.17.250 et seq. We have previously acknowledged your request by letters dated May 17 and June 17, 2004. We also corresponded with you regarding this request by letter dated June 24, 2004.

1. Request: *"(A) copy of the state's contract with the.... 'consulting firm' that conducted 'a comprehensive and economic study and analysis of the impacts and benefits of Project Olympus for the State, its citizens and its taxpayers.'"*

Response: A copy of the contract is being provided.

Request: *"(A) copy of this analysis."*

Response: The "Employment and Income Analysis" was posted on the CTED website on June 22, 2004. You were contacted on June 22 by Stephanie Barnett, who provided you with the information to access the analysis via the website, at no cost to EFF. We are assuming you do not want another copy in paper format of this analysis. If we are incorrect, please advise.

2. Request: *“Per Section 7.3 of the Boeing agreement, please provide a copy of the required executive order or any other document that would be responsive to this section.”*

Response: A copy of an interagency agreement from Governor Locke is being provided. This interagency agreement replaces the executive order.

3. Request: *“(A) copy of all authorizing governmental resolutions...”*

Response: At this time, we have not received any further authorizing resolutions and therefore do not have any records responsive to this part of your request.

4. Request: *“(A) copy of all documents responsive to the establishment of the Aerospace Futures Board (AFB) [including] a copy of all the AFB’s organizational documents, including by-laws, as well as a list of ... membership.”*

Response: The AFB has been referred to by several different titles, including the Dreamliner Futures Board, the Futures Board, the Workforce Development Council, and the Workforce Development Advisory Council. The current title of the AFB is the Workforce Development Advisory Council (WDAC). Therefore, we searched for records responsive to your request where all these references were used. Those records are being provided.

In addition, some of the responsive records, such as the timelines and project checklists, as well as various presentations, are included in their earlier format, as well as the later or final versions if we had them (if they were final at the time of your request). You should be advised that some of the earlier formats are obsolete because they have been superseded by later versions due to development of the project.

5. Request: *“(T)he total dollar amount to date reimbursed to Boeing or Boeing’s requested reimbursement amount as a result of their enjoining in the EFF v. Governor Locke/CTED/Boeing public records lawsuit”.*

Response: Boeing has not requested reimbursement for its participation in EFF v. Governor Locke/CTED/Boeing and there are no records responsive to this request.

6. Request: You are also requesting *“for each number 1-5, if no documents exist, please provide the waivers as required by Section 12.23 of the Boeing agreement.”* For ease of reference, we will refer to this as “part 6” of your request.

Response: The transmittal letters acknowledging changes agreed upon by the State of Washington and The Boeing Company are being provided.

Exemptions. There are four documents total. Three emails are redacted or exempted because the information contains preliminary drafts, notes, recommendations and intra-

Jason Mercier, EFF

July 2, 2004

Page 3 of 3

agency recommendations exempt from disclosure pursuant to RCW 42.17.310(1)(i). Two of the redacted documents include a draft report dated May 5, 2004 prepared by Stephen Forman and forwarded to our office by Kathy DiJulio by emails dated May 4, 2004. One document is a May 5, 2004 email from Martha Choe, with this same draft report attached. The fourth document, one page of notes (undated) prepared by Stephanie Barnett, is also withheld because it contains attorney client privileged information, which is exempt under RCW 42.17.310(1)(j) and RCW 5.60.060(2).

Pending Documents. Some of the remaining records responsive to your request were prepared by Deloitte. We are awaiting a response from Deloitte on approximately five other documents that are responsive to your request. We provided the company notice of your request pursuant to RCW 42.17.330 and we have just learned that the company will not be able to review your request with its attorneys until late this week or early next week. Given their need for review and the upcoming holiday, we have been informed that they anticipate providing us information on whether they have any objections to disclosure by no later than the end of next week (July 9) and we anticipate providing you a further response on those remaining records within seven business days of when we hear from Deloitte, or sooner if possible.

Costs. The per-page charge at this time is 10 cents per page and \$5.00 per CD. Once we determine the final number of pages to be provided in response to your May 13 request, we will advise you of the charges and will request you to submit a check to the PCO for the amount. We reserve the right to waive any charges for a request that is less than \$25, but may also assess per page charges for future requests.

Except for the last records that are still being reviewed by Deloitte, this is the agency's final response to your public records request for the remaining records provided.

Sincerely,



Robin Pollard

7E7 Project Coordinator

Enclosures

cc: Stephanie Barnett
Nancy Krier
Jennifer Joly